## ENSC 894 - COMMUNICATION NETWORKS - SPRING 2020

## Performance of Cloud Gaming using Riverbed Modeler 17.5 Academic Version

https://cloudgamenetworking.wordpress.com/performance-of-cloud-gaming-using-riverbed-modeler/

Nadeem Khalfan, 301356518, nkhalfan@sfu.ca Nowshin Binte Anwar, 301324355, nanwar@sfu.ca Arbaz Ahmed, 301367520, arbaza@sfu.ca

TEAM #5

- INTRODUCTION
   RELATED WORK
   OBJECTIVES
   OPNET MODEL
   SIMULATION RESULTS
   FUTURE WORK
   CONCLUSION
   DEFERENCES
- 8. REFERENCES

## INTRODUCTION

- Modern computer games are:
  a) Expensive.
  b) Require high processing and graphical power.
- Cloud gaming (gaming in real time) allows users to play hardware intensive games without the 'expense'. [2]
- Playstation Now
   OnLive
   GeForce Now



Comparison between Cloud and Online Gaming Photo taken from: <u>https://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~swc/pub/qos\_cloud\_ga</u> <u>ming\_systems.html</u>

## INTRODUCTION

- Inputting commands over a network.
- Remote server, display being streamed to you.
- Commands sent, stream being updated.
- ms reaction time.
- Latency: How long inputs take to be registered
- Bandwidth: Maximum amount of data that can be transferred. [4]

#### Limitations:

- Infrastructure: Data centers filled with numerous servers.
- Remote machines: Sharing resources.



Diagram of how Cloud Gaming works [3] Photo taken from: <u>https://arstechnica.com/gaming/2018/06/ubisoft-ceo-cloud-ga</u> <u>ming-will-replace-consoles-after-the-next-generation/</u>

1. INTRODUCTION 2. **RELATED WORK** 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. CONCLUSION 8. **REFERENCES** 

### **RELATED WORK**

1)R. Shea, J. Liu, E. Ngai, and Y. Cui, "Cloud Gaming: Architecture & Performance", IEEE Network, pp. 16-21, 2013 - *Performance of devices*.[5]

2)Khaled Alutaibi and Ljiljana Trajković"Performance Analysis of VoIP Codecs over Wi-Fi and WiMAX Networks", 2012 [6]

3)W. Cai *et al.*, "A Survey on Cloud Gaming: Future of Computer Games," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 7605-7620, 2016 - *Cloud Gaming Topology*. [7]

1. INTRODUCTION 2. RELATED WORK 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. CONCLUSION 8. **REFERENCES** 

## OBJECTIVES

- Cloud gaming application is time-sensitive; yet prone to delay.
- Delay has negative impacts on Quality of Experience(QoE) and Quality of Service (QoS). [8]

### Our objectives:

- 1) How delay varies under different practical constraints.
- 2) Whether improved resolution has an effect on delay/ jitter or not.
- 3) How adding in a voice service would add to the jitter and delay.

1. INTRODUCTION 2. RELATED WORK 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. CONCLUSION 8. **REFERENCES** 

## OPNET MODEL

Software: Riverbed Modeler 17.5 (academic edition)

Modeling Server-side & User-side subnet Server Side: User-Side:

1. Data center

1. Router

- 2. Firewall
- 3. Router

2. User with workstation

Application Config: 3 applications Profile Config: 3 profiles



#### Cloud gaming topology

#### 1) <u>Obtaining & importing gaming video traces</u>

One 1080p & one 4k gaming trace

Length: 60 mins

1080p trace: Quantization(35) at 24 fps

4k trace: Quantization(40) at 30 fps

2) <u>Setting up protocols</u>

Physical Layer Technology – IEEE 802.11n 5 GHz frequency band

3) <u>Platform used to Simulate Topology in Riverbed 17.5</u>
 Windows 10
 Intel i5 processor, 2GHz
 8GB RAM

#### Base Configuration:

One user on the user-side subnet

- Huawei AR1200 router(supports both wired and wireless access modes)
- Ethernet workstation (client-server application running over TCP/IP and UDP/IP)
- Server (client-server application running over TCP/IP and UDP/IP)



User - side subnet



Server - side subnet

- INTRODUCTION
   RELATED WORK
   OBJECTIVES
   OPNET MODEL
   SIMULATION RESULTS
   FUTURE WORK
   CONCLUSION
- 8. REFERENCES

#### Scenario 1 (single user vs multiple users for Interactive 1080p cloud gaming )

### End to End Delay :

- The delay starts from 30 seconds for both the scenarios.
- The delay for 2 clients/gamers in a subnet increases exponentially.
   Where the value increases from 0.2 to 10.7 seconds.
- The delay for only one client barely increases from 0.2 to 1.5 seconds.



### Scenario 1 (cont'd)

#### Jitter in voice:

- The Jitter for 2 clients/gamers in a subnet increases linearly from 0 to 0.0031 seconds.
- The delay for only one client increases rarely from 0 to 0.0005 seconds.



#### Scenario 2 (Effect of adding voice to 1080p cloud gaming)

#### Traffic received:

- In case of cloud gaming without voice over IP services, the number of bytes received from the server to the client increases linearly with time on an average after 1 minute 30 seconds.
- The number of bytes received by a gamer accessing cloud gaming without voice application are around 3600 bps while for interactive gamers it is about 4900 bps by the end of simulation.

1080p trace: Blue Planet( quantization 30 at 24 fps). [9]



### Scenario 2 (cont'd)

#### Packet Delay Variation:

- In case of cloud gaming without voice over IP services, the packet delay variation/jitter remains almost constant as time proceeds(except from initial couple seconds) which is expected.
- For interactive cloud gaming, the delay variation rises exponentially from 4\*10^-10 to 19\*10^-10 in average.



#### Scenario 3 (Interactive 1080p cloud gaming with background load)

#### End to End Delay:

- The delay starts from 30 seconds for both the scenarios.
- The delay for 2 clients/gamers in a subnet increases exponentially. Where the value increases from 0.2 to 10.7 seconds.
- The delay for only one client barely increases from 0.2 to 1.5 seconds.
- As expected, these results are almost similar to the scenario without load.



### Scenario 3 (cont'd)

#### Jitter in voice:

- The Jitter for 2 clients/gamers in a subnet also increases linearly from 0 to 0.0031 seconds.
- The delay for only one client increases rarely from 0 to 0.0005 seconds.
- The Jitter is also the same with minimal fluctuations on the curve.



### Scenario 3 (cont'd)

#### Video traffic sent and received:

- The number of bytes sent from the client to the server increases linearly with time.
- The number of bytes sent by 2 gamers are more (5,700 bps) than the single user (2,500 bps) at the end of the simulation similar to scenario 1.
- For the receiver side, the increase is also linear but increases inconsistently and is different as compared to scenario 1 because the background load is added.
- A trace of 1080p was used for both the sending side and the receiving side.



### Scenario 3 (cont'd)

#### Voice Traffic Sent and received:

- For voice over IP services, the number of bytes sent from the client to the server increases linearly with time on an average.
- The number of bytes sent by 2 gamers are more (825,000 bps) than the single user (550,000 bps) at the end of the simulation which is almost similar to scenario 1.
- For the receiver side, the increase looks like a step for 2 gamers whereas a ramp for 1 user after which both the comparisons settle for 215,000 bps.



1. INTRODUCTION 2. RELATED WORK 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. CONCLUSION 8. **REFERENCES** 

## DIFFICULTIES AND FUTURE WORK

### Difficulties:

- 1) Realism Limited to the features of the software (Academic version)
- 2) Creating more scenarios.
- 3) Understanding the software beyond the tutorials.

### <u>Future Work:</u>

- 1) 5G Topology.
- 2) 8K Cloud Gaming.
- 3) Distance of nodes (client) to the server latency.

### Next Steps:

- 1. Add a firewall and security attributes.
- 2. Test different background load to the links.

1. INTRODUCTION 2. RELATED WORK 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. **CONCLUSION** 8. **REFERENCES** 

## CONCLUSION

- Cloud gaming is the future.
- Increasing the number of clients/gamers in a subnet has an effect on delay.
- Traffic sent and received is also dependent on the number of users.
- Adding voice to our topology decrease the traffic received by 15%.
- Increasing the number of Wi-fi connections will result in a more fluid gameplay regardless to the number of people connected to the router.

1. INTRODUCTION 2. RELATED WORK 3. **OBJECTIVES** 4. **OPNET MODEL 5. SIMULATION RESULTS** 6. **FUTURE WORK** 7. CONCLUSION 8. **REFERENCES** 

## REFERENCES

- 1) Chen, K et al. "On the Quality of Service of Cloud Gaming Systems" Online: <u>https://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~swc/pub/qos\_cloud\_gaming\_systems.html</u> Accessed: 15/03/2020
- 2) Chen, K et al. "On the Quality of Service of Cloud Gaming Systems" Online: <u>https://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~swc/pub/qos\_cloud\_gaming\_systems.html</u> Accessed: 15/03/2020
- 3) Orland, K "Ubisoft CEO: Cloud gaming will replace consoles after the next generation" online: <u>https://arstechnica.com/gaming/2018/06/ubisoft-ceo-cloud-gaming-will-replace-consoles-after-the-next</u> <u>-generation/</u> Accessed: 18/03/2020
- 4) Roach, J "*How Does Cloud Gaming Work? A Guide for 2020*" Online: <u>https://www.cloudwards.net/how-does-cloud-gaming-work/</u> Accessed: 19/03/2020
- 5) R. Shea, J. Liu, E. Ngai, and Y. Cui, "Cloud Gaming: Architecture & Performance", IEEE Network, pp. 16-21, 2013. Online: <u>https://www2.cs.sfu.ca/~jcliu/Papers/CloudGaming.pdf</u> Accessed: 22/03/2020
- 6) Khaled Alutaibi and Ljiljana Trajković"Performance Analysis of VoIP Codecs over Wi-Fi and WiMAX Networks", 2012. Online: <u>http://www.sfu.ca/~ljilja/papers/OPNETWORK2012\_final\_final.pdf</u> Accessed: 20/03/2020
- W. Cai *et al.*, "A Survey on Cloud Gaming: Future of Computer Games," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 7605-7620, 2016 *Cloud Gaming Topology* Online: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7536162 Accessed 21/03/2020
- Fitzpatrick, J "How to Use Quality of Service (QoS) to Get Faster Internet When You Really Need It" Online: howtogeek.com/75660/the-beginners-guide-to-qos-on-your-router/ Accessed: 25/03/2020
- 9) [Online]. Available: <u>http://trace.eas.asu.edu/tracemain.html</u>. [Accessed: 1- April 2020].

# Thank you.

**Question?**